Cal/Ecotox Exposure Factors for Western Meadowlark (Sturnella neglecta)*

Page 1

Endpoint Type	Endpoint Value	Error	Range	Units	Sex	Life Stage	Location	Note	Reference
Body Weight - Mean	75.9		74.1-79.6	g	F	Adult	AZ; MEXICO; TX	a	1
Body Weight - Mean	101.5		88.3-114.0	g	М	Adult	AZ; MEXICO; TX	b	1
Body Weight - Mean	4.00			OZ	NR	Adult	CA	С	2
Body Weight - Mean	0.25			OZ	NR	Nestling	CA	d	2
Clutch or Litter Size	5			eggs/clutch	F	Adult	CA	е	2
Clutch or Litter Size	5.41	0.14 SE		eggs/clutch	F	Adult	CANADA	f	3
Clutches or Litters per year	2			nests/yr	F	Adult	CA	g	2
Dietary Composition	Acridid, adult Coleoptera, Tettigoniid,				NR	Adult	NE	h	4
	larval Lepidoptera, Hymenoptera,								
	Other, Hemipteran, spider								
Dietary Composition	Sturnella sp., Colaptes, sparrows,				NR	Adult	ОК	i	5
	Lepus californicus, Sigmodon hispidus,								
	Sylvilagus sp., Felis domesticus								
Dietary Composition	mourning dove				NR	NR	NM	i	6
Dietary Composition	grain (30.8), weed seed (5.3), miscell.			%	NR	NR	CA	k	2
	vegetable (0.6), Coleoptera (21.3),								
	Orthoptera (20.3), Lepidoptera (12.2),								
	Hemiptera (1.7), Hymenoptera (5.6),								
	Diptera (0.1), Arachnida (0.2), miscell.								
	insects (1.9)								
Duration of Incubation or Gestation	, ,		12-14	d	NR	Embryo	CA	1	2
Fledging or Weaning Rate	2.32	0.06 SE		young/fema	F	Adult	CANADA	m	3
				le					
Growth Rate	7			g/d	NR	Nestling	CA	n	2
Hatching Success	19/31				В	Adult	CANADA	0	3
Hatching Success	3			young/clutc	NR	Juvenile	CA	р	2
				h					
Home Range			10-32	acres	В	Adult	IA	q	7
Population Density	0 to 12 (+/-15)			nests/100	В	Adult	IA	r	8
				ha					
Population Density			2.0-3.5	territories/1	В	Adult	UT	s	9
				6 ha					
Population Density	6			birds per	В	Adult	IA	t	7
				50 acres					
Population Density	28.43 (96)			pairs/squar	В	Adult	ND	u	10
				e mile					
Population Density			0.1-1.0	birds/acre	NR	NR	Merced; CA	V	2
Territory Size	12,406.8			m^2	М	Adult	AK; CO	W	11
Territory Size	3,228.1			m^2	M	Adult	AK; CO	x	11
Time of Fledging or Metamorphosis			8-10	d	NR	Fledgling	CA	У	2
Time of Nesting	Mar-Aug				В	Adult	CA	Z	2
Time of Nesting	first two weeks of May				В	Adult	CANADA	aa	3

Notes

a mean body weight; N=3 birds; summer

Exposure Factors for Western Meadowlark (Sturnella neglecta)*

Page 2

- b mean body weight; N=8 birds; summer
- c body weight of average adult; N=NR
- d body weight of day-old nestling; N=2 nests
- e usual number of eggs laid; N=NR
- f mean size of clutches laid early (pre-May 1), mid (May 1-10), or late season (post-May 10); N=41 nests; near Delta, Manitoba
- q usual number of nesting attempts per year; N=NR; First nesting in April and May; second nesting in July and August.
- h percent of arthropod taxa in the diet, listed in order of decreasing frequency; N=95 birds; Arapaho Prairie; seeds made up less than 5% of diet
- species observed to be scavenged by meadowlarks; N=NR; winter
- j item found in stomach analysis; N=1 bird; December; New Mexico State Hwy 26; see paper for observations of birds eating carrion
- k percent contribution to the diet by volume, based on stomach content analyses; N=-2,000 stomachs; all; Data are for 20 locations in CA and over all seasons. See citation for diet composition data from individual locations.
- I observed range of incubation periods; N=NR
- number of young fledged per female; N=38 females; near Delta, Manitoba; Males produced 3.60 +/- 0.11 young on average.
- n average increase in body weight; N=2 nests
- o proportion of successful nests (hatched at least one egg) in which entire clutch hatched; N=41 nests; near Delta, Manitoba
- p estimated average brood size; N=NR
- q estimated breeding territory size defended by males; N=4 territories; early June-mid August; near Iowa Lakeside Laboratory
- r mean nest densities in untilled corn or soybean fields; N=8-9 fields; spring; Adair County
- s range of mean breeding bird densities on three grassland-sagebrush sites (over two years); N=3 sites; April-June; Wasatch National Forest
- t density of birds nesting and feeding in study area; N=6; early June-mid August; near Iowa Lakeside Laboratory
- u mean density of breeding birds (maximum density in parentheses); N=130 sample units (160 acres/unit); April-July
- v N=23-67 birds counted; July; Los Banos, Merced
- w average territory size in mated birds; N=17 birds; March-June; Boulder
- x average territory size of unmated birds; N=7 birds; March-June; Boulder
- y observed number of days chicks spent in nest; N=NR
- z length of nesting period; N=NR
- peak time of nest initiation; N=46 nests; near Delta, Manitoba

References

- 1 Lanyon, Wesley E. 1962. Specific limits and distribution of meadowlarks of the desert grassland. Auk. 79:183-207.
- 2 Bryant, Harold C. 1914. A determination of the economic status of the western meadowlark (Sturnella neglecta) in California. Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 11(14):377-510.
- 3 Dickinson, T.E., J.B. Falls, and J. Kopachena. 1987. Effects of female pairing status and timing of breeding on nesting productivity in western meadowlarks (Sturnella neglecta). Can. J. Zool. 65:3093-3101.
- 4 Kaspari, Michael and Anthony Joern. 1993. Prey choice by three insectivorous grassland birds: Reevaluating opportunism. Oikos. 68:414-430.
- 5 Tyler, Jack D. and Larry L. Choate. 1990. Opportunistic scavenging by meadowlarks in southwestern Oklahoma. Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci. 70:41-42.
- 6 Hubbard, John P. and Claudia L. Hubbard. 1969. Meadowlarks feeding on roadkills. Wilson Bull. 81(1):107-108.
- 7 Kendeigh, Charles, 1941, Birds of a prairie community, Condor, 43(4):165-174.
- Basore, Nancy S., Louis B. Best, and James B. Wooley, Jr. 1986. Bird nesting in Iowa no-tillage and tilled cropland. J. Wildl. Manage. 50(1):19-28.
- 9 Castrale, John S. 1982. Effects of two sagebrush control methods on nongame birds. J. Wildl. Manage. 46(4):945-952.
- 10 Stewart, Robert E., and Harold A. Kantrud. 1972. Population estimates of breeding birds in North Dakota. Auk. 89:766-788.
- Aweida, Maria Klemperer. 1995. Repertoires, territory size and mate attraction in western meadowlarks. Condor. 97:1080-1083.

*Cal/EPA, OEHHA and the University of California Regents are not responsible for damages of any kind resulting from the use of or reliance on information in this report. Users are encouraged to consult the original data. Updated: February 1999.